

Summary of Class Size Reduction Research

with New York data

December 2016



124 Waverly Place
New York, NY 10011

212-674-7320

www.classsizematters.org

info@classsizematters.org

Research on the effects of class size reduction has linked small class sizes with a variety of cognitive and non-cognitive benefits for students and teachers, both short and long-term, especially when class sizes are reduced in the early grades. In fact, class size reduction is one of only a handful of K12 reforms cited by the [Institute of Education Sciences \(2003\)](#) as proven to increase student achievement through rigorous evidence.

Reducing class size is among an even smaller number of education reforms that have been shown to narrow the achievement gap. Its benefits are particularly pronounced for lower-income students and children of color, who experience two to three times the gains from smaller classes.

Smaller classes have also been found to have a positive impact on school climate, student socio-emotional growth, safety and suspension rates, parent engagement, and teacher attrition, especially in schools with large numbers of disadvantaged children.

Yet in NYC and the other Big 5 public schools where the majority of students are African American and Latino and would likely see the greatest benefit from class size reduction, classes are much larger on average than in the rest of the state. According to the latest available state data, NYC average class sizes are 21% larger than the rest of the state outside NYC in grades K-3, and in the Big 5 cities they are 14% larger. In other grades, NYC average class sizes are 23-34% larger than the average in the rest of the state, and in the Big 5 they are 6% larger.¹

Here are just some of the benefits of smaller class size, according to the peer-reviewed research literature.

¹ Average class size data for NYC, Buffalo, Rochester, Syracuse and Yonkers in: *NYSED Information, Reporting and Technology Services, Average Class Size for Selected Assignment Codes 2014-2015* at: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/pmf/2015/2015_Avg-Class-Size.pdf. As NYSED doesn't report class sizes in all subjects in middle and high school courses, those cited above are the average of class sizes in 7th grade English and Math, Global 9th and English 11th grade classes. According to this data, Rochester is the only city among the Big 5 in which average class sizes were consistently at or below state levels.

Academic Achievement

- In a [meta-analysis by Glass and Smith \(1978\)](#), small class sizes (20 students or less) were associated with improved academic performance. Effects were strongest in the early primary grades and among low-income students.
- For a study commissioned by the US Department of Education, [McLaughlin et al \(2000\)](#) analyzed the performance of students in over 2,500 schools on state exams adjusted for difficulty via their scores on the NAEP (national) exams. The analysis showed that after controlling for student background, the only factor positively correlated with student test scores was class size. In this study, student achievement was even more strongly linked to smaller classes in the upper than the lower grades.
- A literature review by [Wilson \(2002\)](#) noted that results from the Tennessee STAR study, a large-scale randomized experiment in grades K-3, showed that grade retention was lower for students in small classes: 17% of students from small classes were held back, compared with 30% and 44% respectively from 'regular' and 'regular plus aide' classes. Also, fewer students that had been in smaller classes in the early grade had dropped out of school by tenth grade.
- Using data from the Tennessee STAR study, [Krueger \(2003\)](#) demonstrated that smaller class sizes in grades K-3 led to significant gains in test scores, and that the economic benefits from higher achievement alone would be expected to yield twice the cost of reducing class size.
- [Finn et al \(2005\)](#) found that four years of a small class in K-3 improved the odds of graduating from high school by about 80%.
- [Dynarski et al \(2013\)](#) concluded that smaller classes significantly increased the probability of a student attending college, earning a college diploma, and earning degrees in a STEM field (science, technology, engineering, or mathematics).
- A review by [Zyngier \(2014\)](#) of the research literature showed that smaller classes had a strong positive impact on student achievement and narrowing the achievement gap in the vast majority of studies. The benefits of smaller class size outweighed the cost in all but three of the 112 peer-reviewed studies.

Safety, Attendance and Disciplinary Issues

- [Wilson \(2002\)](#) analyzed Tennessee STAR data, showing that there were lower suspension rates in later grades among students who had been in small classes in the early years. Tenth grade students who had been in small classes in K-3 were suspended on average 0.32 days, compared with 0.62 and 0.77 days for students in 'regular' and 'regular plus aide' classes respectively. Similarly, school attendance was significantly higher for tenth graders who had been assigned to small classes in the early years (16 days per year of absence compared to 23 and 24 for 'regular' and 'regular plus aide' classes).
- [Krueger and Whitmore \(2002\)](#) found that the teen birth rate was one third lower for white girls if they had been assigned to smaller classes in the early grades, and the teen fatherhood rate for Black males was 40% lower.

- In a review of the literature, [Finn et al \(2003\)](#) analyzed 11 separate class size studies, and nearly all showed a positive impact of smaller classes on students' learning behavior, including decreases in anti-social behavior (i.e., withdrawing from interactions with the teacher or other students and/or engaging in disruptive acts) and increases in pro-social behavior (i.e., following rules and interacting positively with the teacher as well as collaborating with other children). In one class size study in North Carolina, disciplinary referrals decreased sharply in the two years after small classes were implemented, with a 26% drop in the first year and a 50% drop in the second year.

School Climate and Non-Cognitive or Socio-Emotional Factors

- [Finn et al \(2003\)](#) analyzed the relationship between class size and learning behavior, social behavior, and teaching styles, showing a positive relationship between reduced class size and outcomes in all three areas. Reduced class size was linked with increased academic engagement, student effort, initiative taking in the classroom and time on task. Additionally, teachers of small classes were able to get to know each student more intimately and their tolerance for a broader range of student behaviors was increased.
- [Babcock and Betts \(2009\)](#) investigated the mechanism through which smaller classes boost academic achievement and found that small class sizes better enabled teachers to engage “low-effort” students, as defined by a below average tendency to begin work promptly, behave appropriately in class, exhibit self-discipline, and follow directions.
- [Bascia \(2010\)](#) summarized the impacts of class size reduction, noting that teachers were able to interact with individual students more frequently and use a greater variety of instructional and differentiated strategies. Students were more engaged and less disruptive in the classroom.
- In an analysis of national longitudinal data of eighth graders, [Dee and West \(2011\)](#) found that reductions in class size were associated with improvements in non-cognitive skills related to psychological engagement with school; more positive reactions to teachers, peers, and academics in general, higher levels of interest and motivation; lower levels of boredom and anxiety, and a greater sense of belonging. Students in small classes were more likely to look forward to class, believe that the subject was useful for their future, and were less afraid to ask questions.

Achievement Gaps

- Based on STAR data, [Krueger and Whitmore \(2002\)](#) estimated that if all students were assigned to a small class in grades K-3 for one to four years, the Black-white test-score gap would drop by 38 percent in grades K-3 and by 15 percent thereafter. They also estimated that national trends in pupil-teacher ratios for Black and white students between 1971 and 1999 accounted for nearly all of the narrowing of the Black-white test score gap over that period, as measured by the NAEP exam. In addition, they concluded that smaller classes in grades K-3 would lead to a narrowing of the Black-white gap in taking college entrance exams by 60%, and would shrink the gap in scores on these exams.
- [Dee \(2004\)](#) looked at the effects of student-teacher racial mismatch on academic achievement in small classes. He found that while racial mismatch of teacher and student had negative effects on academic achievement in regular sized classes, this effect was absent in small classes.

- [Finn et al \(2005\)](#) concluded that three years or more of small classes in the early grades increased the odds of graduating from high school of low-SES students by about 67%. Four years of small classes in the early grades more than doubled the odds. The graduation rates for low-income students with three or more years in a small class were at least as high as those of higher income students, closing the income gap.
- [Konstantopoulos and Chung \(2009\)](#) concluded that while all types of students benefit in later grades from being in small classes in early grades, low achievers benefited more, especially in reading and science.
- In a study examining the effect of a variety of inputs on student achievement in majority Latina/o schools, [Heilig et al \(2010\)](#) found that the reduction in student-teacher ratios was the largest predictor of increases in student achievement.
- After analyzing Social Security data, [Wilde et al \(2011\)](#) estimated that Black students who were randomly assigned to a small class size in the early grades had significantly higher employment rates and earnings as adults.
- [Yongyun Shin \(2012\)](#) found that for Black students, reduced class size in grades K-3 led to significantly higher academic achievement in reading, math, listening, and word recognition skills.
- [Achilles \(2012\)](#) concluded that poor, minority, and male students received especially large benefits from reduced class size in terms of improved test scores, school engagement, and lower grade retention and dropout rates.
- [Dynarski et al \(2013\)](#) investigated the effects of small class sizes on postsecondary attainment and degree completion and estimated that assignment to small classes increased the probability of attending college by 2.7 percentage points, with effects more than twice as large among Blacks. Among students with the lowest probability of attending college, the increase in college attendance was 11 percentage points.
- [Schanzenbach \(2014\)](#) summarized the benefits of class size reduction and its efficacy in narrowing the achievement gap in a report for the National Education Policy Center (NEPC). She concluded that significant savings would be produced from higher graduation rates and increased employment, particularly among low-income and minority groups.
- [Zyngier's meta-analysis \(2014\)](#) revealed that of 112 peer-reviewed studies, the overwhelming majority found that smaller classes helped to narrow the achievement gap.
- [Mathis \(2016\)](#) noted that the positive effects of class size reduction are twice as large for poor and minority students, in a literature review for the National Education Policy Center (NEPC) report.

Parent Engagement

- [Bohrnstedt and Stecher \(1999\)](#) found that parents of students in reduced size classes had more contact with teachers and were more satisfied with their children's education. 74% of parents reported initiating contact with their children's teachers, compared with 69% of parents in non-reduced classes. Parents who had children in smaller classes also gave higher ratings to every aspect of their schooling.

- [Bascia \(2010\)](#) summarized a study conducted by the University of Alberta. After interviewing parents, researchers found that they were encouraged by being able to meet with teachers more frequently. The report also cited evidence from a study of Ontario's class size reduction initiative showing that many parents reported a better relationship with teachers when their children were assigned to a smaller class.

Teacher Attrition

- According to a [NY City Council survey \(2004\)](#) of public school teachers, nearly a third (30%) of new teachers (1-5 years of experience) in NYC said that it was unlikely that they would be teaching in a NYC school in the next three years. For those teachers who were thinking of leaving NYC public schools, the top three changes in their work conditions most likely to persuade them to stay included a new contract with higher pay, smaller classes, and better student discipline.
- [Loeb et al \(2005\)](#) examined data from teacher surveys and school-level data to determine the relationship between occupational conditions and teacher turnover. They found that the presence of very large classes significantly increased teacher turnover.
- A report from the [Pennsylvania State Education Association \(2008\)](#) noted that smaller classes improved teacher morale, which translates into higher rates of teacher attendance, reduced costs for substitute teachers and less teacher attrition.
- [Pas Isenberg \(2010\)](#) found that a decrease in class size from 23 to 20 students in New York State under a district-wide policy decreased the probability that a teacher would leave the school by 4.2 percentage points.
- [Ingersoll \(2016\)](#) noted that job dissatisfaction is the leading factor behind teacher turnover, with 54% of teachers who leave their school reporting that large class sizes contributed to their decision.

References

- Achilles, Charles M. 2012. *Class-size Policy: The Star Experiment and Related Class-size Studies*. National Council of Professors of Educational Administration.
- Babcock, Philip and Julian R. Betts. 2009. "Reduced-class distinctions: Effort, ability, and the education production function." *Journal of Urban Economics* 65: 314-322.
- Bascia, Nina. 2010. *Reducing Class Size: What Do We Know?* Canadian Education Association. Toronto, ON.
- Bohrnstedt, George W. and Brian M. Stecher. 1999. *Class Size Reduction in California 1996-1998: Early Findings Signal Promise and Concerns*. CSR Research Consortium.
- Dee, Thomas S. 2004. "Teachers, Race, and Student Achievement in a Randomized Experiment." *The Review of Economics and Statistics* 86(1): 195-210.
- Dee, Thomas S. and Martin R. West. 2011. "The Non-Cognitive Returns to Class Size." *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis* 33(1): 23-46.
- Dynarski, Susan, Joshua Hyman, and Diane Whitmore Schanzenbach. 2013. "Experimental Evidence on the Effect of Childhood Investments on Postsecondary Attainment and Degree Completion." *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management* 32(4): 692-717.
- Finn, Jeremy D., Gina M. Pannozzo, and Charles M. Achilles. 2003. "The Why's of Small Class Size: Student Behavior in Small Classes." *Journal of Educational Psychology* 97(2): 214-223
- Finn, Jeremy D. and Susan B. Gerber and Jayne Boyd-Zaharias. 2005. "Small Classes in the Early Grades, Academic Achievement, and Graduating from High School," *Journal of Educational Psychology*.
- Glass, Gene V. and Mary Lee Smith. 1979. "Meta-Analysis of Research on Class Size and Achievement." *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis* 1(1): 2-16.
- Heilig, Julian Vasquez, Amy Williams, and Su Jin Jez. 2010. "Inputs and Student Achievement: An Analysis of Latina/o-Serving Urban Elementary Schools." *Association of Mexican American Educators Journal* 48-58.
- Ingersoll, Richard M. 2015. "Why Schools Have Difficulty Staffing Their Classrooms with Qualified Teachers." *Consortium for Policy Research in Education*.
- Institute of Education Sciences. 2003. *Identifying and Implementing Educational Practices Supported by Rigorous Evidence: A User Friendly Guide*. U.S. Department of Education.
- Konstantopoulos, Spyros and Vicki Chung. 2009. "What are the Long-term Effects of Small Classes on the Achievement Gap? Evidence from the Lasting Benefits Study." *American Journal of Education* 116: 125-154.
- Krueger, Alan B. 2003. "Economic Considerations and Class Size." *The Economic Journal* 113 (February): F34-F63.

- Krueger, Alan B., & Whitmore, D. M. 2002. "Would Smaller Classes Help Close the Black-White Achievement Gap?" *Bridging the Achievement Gap*. Brookings Institution Press.
- Loeb, Susanna, Linda Darling-Hammond, and John Luczak. 2005. "How Teaching Conditions Predict Teacher Turnover in California Schools." *Peabody Journal of Education* 80(3):44-70.
- Mathis, William J. 2016. *The Effectiveness of Class Size Reduction*. National Education Policy Center and University of Colorado Boulder. Boulder, CO.
- McLaughlin, Donald, Gili Drori, and Michael Ross. 2000. "School-level Correlates of Academic Achievement: Student Assessment Scores in SASS Public Schools." *U.S. Department of Education's National Center for Education Statistics*. Washington, DC.
- NYC Council Investigation Division. 2004. *Report on Teacher Attrition and Retention*.
- Pas Isenberg, Emily. 2010. "The Effect of Class Size on Teacher Attrition: Evidence from Class Size Reduction Policies in New York State." *U.S. Bureau of the Census Center for Economic Studies*. Washington, DC.
- Pennsylvania State Education Association. 2008. *Class Size Reduction: PSEA Promising Practices to Close Student Achievement Gaps*.
- Schanzenbach, Diane W. 2014. *Does Class Size Matter?* National Education Policy Center and University of Colorado Boulder. Boulder, CO.
- Shin, Yongyun. 2012. "Do Black Children Benefit More from Small Classes? Multivariate Instrumental Variable Estimators with Ignorable Missing Data." *Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics*, 37(4).
- Wilde E.T., J. Finn, G. Johnson, P. Muennig. 2011. "The effect of class size in grades K-3 on adult earnings, employment, and disability status: evidence from a multi-center randomized controlled trial." *Journal of Health Care for the Poor and Underserved* 22(4):1424-35.
- Wilson, Valerie. 2002. *Does Small Really Make a Difference?* University of Glasgow: The Scottish Council for Research in Education.
- Zyngier, David. 2014. "Class size and academic results, with a focus on children from culturally, linguistically and economically disenfranchised communities." *Evidence Base 1*.

Compiled by Leonie Haimson and Katie Donnelly, Class Size Matters

For more research showing the benefits of class size reduction,
see: www.classsizematters.org/research or contact: info@classsizematters.org